

# EXHIBIT K

J9NVTERC

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK  
-----x

3 IN RE: TERRORIST ATTACKS ON  
4 SEPTEMBER 11, 2001, 03 MD 1570 (GBD) (SN)

5 -----x  
6 TELEPHONE CONFERENCE

7 New York, N.Y.  
8 September 23, 2019  
9 10:06 a.m.

10 Before:  
11

12 HON. SARAH NETBURN,  
13 Magistrate Judge

14 APPEARANCES  
15

16 ANDERSON KILL  
17 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
18 BY: JERRY S. GOLDMAN

19 -AND-

20 MOTLEY RICE  
21 BY: JOHN M. EUBANKS  
22 ROBERT T. HAEFELE  
23 -AND-

24 KREINDLER & KREINDLER  
25 BY: MEGAN W. BENNETT

-AND-

JOHN F. SCHUTTY

JONES DAY  
Attorneys for Defendant Dubai Islamic Bank  
BY: STEVEN T. COTTREAU

KELLOGG HANSEN TODD FIGEL & FREDERICK  
Attorneys for Defendant Kingdom of Saudi Arabia  
BY: GREGORY G. RAPAWY

J9NVTERC

1 (In chambers)

2 THE COURT: Good morning. This is Judge Netburn.

3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good morning.

4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good morning.

5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good morning, your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Wonderful. Thank you, everybody.

7 So just a reminder of the rules. I'm here with my law  
8 clerk and a court reporter. What I'm going to do is ask those  
9 lawyers who plan on speaking to state their appearance for the  
10 record. I heard when I logged on that there are 21 people on  
11 this call. I don't think everyone is going to be speaking; so  
12 if you're not intending to speak, please don't state your  
13 appearance. If afterwards you realize there's something you  
14 want to say, you can just state your appearance at that time.15 In addition, I'm going to request that before anyone  
16 speaks, that you state your name again so that the court  
17 reporter can attribute your statements to the appropriate  
18 person.

19 So let me begin with a little roll call.

20 Who is on the phone for the O'Neill plaintiffs?

21 MR. GOLDMAN: Jerry Goldman.

22 THE COURT: Thank you.

23 And who is on the phone for the Ashton claimants?

24 MS. BENETT: That's Megan Bennett, your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Thank you.

J9NVTERC

1                   And for the Burnett plaintiffs?

2                   MR. EUBANKS: You have John Eubanks and Robert  
3 Haefele.

4                   THE COURT: Thank you.

5                   Anyone else that's representing a plaintiff who  
6 anticipates speaking? Terrific.

7                   And who is on the line for the defendants?

8                   MR. RAPAWY: Gregory Rapawy for Saudi Arabia, your  
9 Honor. And I think I have Mr. Kabat's consent to address any  
10 matters that need to be addressed for defendants.

11                  THE COURT: Thank you.

12                  Okay. The purpose of this call is primarily to speak  
13 with Mr. Goldman about his letter that was filed on September  
14 6th. The concern that I have is with respect to claimants  
15 obtaining judgments against Iran who have not appeared as  
16 plaintiffs in this case. And I understand from Mr. Goldman's  
17 letter and from his motions that he's filed recently that it's  
18 his belief that those claimants can obtain judgments even  
19 though they are not plaintiffs, they are not parties in the  
20 case, through the estates. And I'm not sure that's a legally  
21 proper position, and so I wanted to give Mr. Goldman an  
22 opportunity to discuss that with me.

23                  I also would like you, Mr. Goldman, to explain in more  
24 detail to me why you believe that your clients are not  
25 authorized to utilize the amendment procedure that we adopted

J9NVTERC

1 to streamline this process.

2 So, Mr. Goldman, let me ask you to answer some of  
3 those questions.

4 MR. GOLDMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

5 With regard to the amendment process, it was our  
6 reading of the Court's orders that the amendment process would  
7 only apply to those cases which were then pending, which would  
8 have been Ashton and Burnett, and also Havlish and, I believe,  
9 Hoglan.

10 As your Honor recalls, the O'Neills only had one  
11 plaintiff regarding Iran, unlike what we did with regard to the  
12 banks and the charities and the kingdoms with the putative  
13 class actions. With regard to Iran, it was a plaintiff case.

14 You then instructed us, if we were going to add  
15 additional plaintiffs, to do them in those groups of 100. But  
16 when your Honor revised the order, it did not include O'Neill  
17 in the amendment process, and we had to go through with the  
18 other manner.

19 Now, from the beginning we had named our plaintiffs on  
20 these new cases to include the estates of personal  
21 representatives and the family members and the like, drawing on  
22 that case law in the D.C. Circuit which allowed that to bring  
23 in and assert claims for other family members. And that is how  
24 we did it. And we disclosed that in each of the memorandum of  
25 law which we filed in support of the motion.

J9NVTERC

1                   THE COURT: Mr. Goldman, approximately how many  
2 claimants do you represent that have obtained judgments that  
3 are not parties in any action?

4                   MR. GOLDMAN: Twenty.

5                   THE COURT: Twenty.

6                   To your knowledge, are there other claimants for whom  
7 you might seek judgments who are not parties in any action?

8                   MR. GOLDMAN: Not at this time, your Honor. There are  
9 other potential claimants out there, but they would not be -- I  
10 would not use this particular mechanism for them.

11                  THE COURT: Okay.

12                  I have the order that established the short form  
13 complaints with me. We tried to be as clear as possible, and  
14 maybe we weren't. This case will serve as a civil procedure  
15 exercise for first-year law students going forward.

16                  But I don't think that the procedure cannot be  
17 utilized by your clients, though I want to look over it again  
18 to be clear. But I'm also not convinced that your clients can  
19 proceed without being a party to the action.

20                  I'm familiar with the D.C. district court decisions  
21 that you're referring to; I've read them. I would say that the  
22 earlier cases that seem to permit claimants to obtain judgments  
23 do so without a whole lot of analysis; and that more recently,  
24 the same judge denied claimants from obtaining judgments in the  
25 same posture, also without a whole lot of analysis. So I'm not

J9NVTERC

1 sure that what's happening in the D.C. district court is  
2 particularly helpful. And to the extent it is, the more recent  
3 cases do not permit claimants to obtain judgments if they are  
4 not a party.

5 In my experience, the types of claims that your  
6 clients are bringing are personal and, therefore, they do need  
7 to be a party in an action. And so I think we need to come up  
8 with some sort of streamlined way of getting your clients into  
9 cases.

10 I'm open to even doing so *nunc pro tunc* to the date of  
11 the judgment for Iran. And I'll pause for a minute and say I  
12 recognize that the other defendants are represented here. And  
13 my concern is really as to Iran, and the other defendants can  
14 make whatever application they think is appropriate at whatever  
15 time they think is appropriate.

16 But with respect to Iran, who is obviously a  
17 defaulting defendant, I do think the Court has an obligation to  
18 make sure that people who are obtaining judgments with captions  
19 need to be a party to that case. I'm not familiar with any  
20 legal theory that would allow claimants who are obtaining  
21 judgments for their own individual claims, rather than as  
22 beneficiaries of an estate, can proceed.

23 MR. GOLDMAN: Your Honor, without waiving, we are  
24 prepared to make a motion *nunc pro tunc* and have it filed by  
25 tomorrow.

J9NVTERC

1                   THE COURT: Okay. I think that that is the cleanest  
2 way to proceed. And I don't mean to cause a fire drill. So if  
3 you would like more than 24 hours to do so, I think -- I won't  
4 set a deadline, I would do it as soon as you can. I don't  
5 think there's a fire drill at this point.

6                   MR. GOLDMAN: The second-year associate who is sitting  
7 across from me in our conference room nodded her head  
8 vigorously.

9                   THE COURT: All right. Well, I like to take care of  
10 all lawyers, including second-year associates.

11                  Okay. I think that that resolves the issues that I  
12 wanted to discuss today.

13                  Does anyone want to be heard on any other matter?

14                  Okay.

15                  MR. COTTREAU: Your Honor, this is Steve Cottreau for  
16 Dubai Islamic Bank. I just wanted to bring one quick matter to  
17 your attention.

18                  As you may know, last week the plaintiffs filed for a  
19 short extension of, I think, five days from the deadline so  
20 that a couple of depositions could be taken by November 5th and  
21 6th. We are still working out with plaintiffs whether those  
22 depositions can, in fact, happen in one day; they may be in a  
23 foreign language and there may be some significant  
24 cross-examination in those depositions.

25                  And so I would just ask your Honor to consider, if

J9NVTERC

1       she's going to grant an extension to the deadlines, that you  
2       grant it to November 8th, which would be the end of that first  
3       week in November; so that if depositions ran longer than one  
4       day, we would have a chance to complete them by the deadline  
5       that you set.

6               THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. I'll grant it till  
7       November 8th.

8               MR. COTTREAU: Thank you, your Honor.

9               THE COURT: Anything further from anyone?

10              MR. HAEFELE: Your Honor, this is Robert Haefele.

11              THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Haefele.

12              MR. HAEFELE: I'm just adding to what Mr. Cottreau  
13       said.

14              I think one of the concerns that I'm not hearing  
15       addressed is that I understand that these are depositions of  
16       detainees or prisoners at Supermax. The government has had  
17       some say into how those depositions are to occur.

18              Mr. Cottreau is indicating that he may want two days  
19       of depositions; but I think the government has indicated a time  
20       limit for each of the detainees or each of the prisoners.  
21       That's something your Honor should probably take into account  
22       as well.

23              THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm happy to grant the relief  
24       until November 8th. And obviously if the government is making  
25       it difficult for that to be achieved, you can come back to me.

J9NVTERC

1 MR. HAEFELE: Thank you.

2 MR. COTTREAU: Your Honor, this is Steve Cottreau for  
3 Dubai Islamic Bank.

4 Just to add, we're happy if the government sets a time  
5 limit we can live with. Then we would just want the time to be  
6 split equally between plaintiffs and defendants.

7 THE COURT: Okay. I imagine you all can work that  
8 out; and if not, you can submit something to me in writing.

9 MR. COTTREAU: Thank you.

10 MR. SCHUTTY: Your Honor, this is John Schutty  
11 representing the Dickey plaintiffs.

12 May I make one request? I represent only nuclear  
13 families. I've elected not to represent in-laws, that is,  
14 parents and siblings. And from the default judgments which I  
15 have been following very carefully, many people appear to be  
16 added after the statute of limitations, ostensibly because  
17 their family member is covered by other plaintiffs.

18 And anticipating that there may be a day down the  
19 future where in-laws contest money paid to families for a  
20 decedent, are people being added after the statutory period  
21 claiming they are members of an estate, when, in fact, they are  
22 not, under New York law?

23 THE COURT: I don't think this is something I can  
24 answer on the fly. I think the issue of statute of  
25 limitations -- for one, it varies, depending on the defendant

J9NVTERC

1 and the nature of the claim. So there's some lack of clarity  
2 about when the statute runs. And I guess I'm not really  
3 prepared to give you any guidance on any application that may  
4 come at some later date, to the extent there is an unfortunate  
5 battle between family members.

6 MR. SCHUTTY: My concern is that the default judgments  
7 may be entered on behalf of people who are not proper parties  
8 to a lawsuit and have not timely filed actions. And the Court  
9 may be entering default judgments on behalf of these parties  
10 who will later contest awards against my clients, for example,  
11 parents and siblings, and after the statute of limitations.

12 THE COURT: What are you --

13 MR. GOLDMAN: Your Honor, this is Jerry --

14 THE COURT: Sorry, Mr. Goldman. I'm in the middle of  
15 a conversation with Mr. Schutty right now.

16 Mr. Schutty, what relief are you seeking right now?

17 MR. SCHUTTY: I'm just concerned that the Court is  
18 being asked to rubber stamp default judgments without proof  
19 that the claims have been filed in a timely manner on behalf of  
20 each individual family member.

21 If you're not within the estate of a decedent under  
22 applicable state law, other family members can toll the statute  
23 of limitations for you. And there have been numerous filings  
24 in the last few months where it's unclear that these people  
25 were added to the lawsuits in a timely manner.

J9NVTERC

1                   THE COURT: I think your concern is legitimate. I'm  
2 just not sure what relief you're seeking right now.

3                   MR. SCHUTTY: Well, if I was a defendant, I would  
4 surely be upset if people were added to the lawsuit without a  
5 timely claim being asserted by individuals. At worst, we may  
6 be establishing a pattern of allowing default judgments that  
7 are improper. That's really my point.

8                   In the filings to date, I have not seen the attorneys  
9 or the claimants establishing that they have filed during the  
10 statutory period, which, from what I understand, has expired  
11 for each of the defendants, including Iran.

12                  THE COURT: I'm not sure that that's correct. And I'm  
13 also not sure that the Court has authority to *sua sponte* raise  
14 statute of limitations defenses. There is some split authority  
15 on that particular issue. I certainly don't think that the  
16 default judgment posture carries an enormous amount of weight  
17 in more contested actions. So I guess I would leave it at  
18 that.

19                  MR. SCHUTTY: I understand, your Honor.

20                  I wanted to preserve our objection in the event there  
21 are in-laws who claim that they had filed timely claims down  
22 the road. And simply because they have a default judgment  
23 doesn't mean the claim is timely, that's all. I want to  
24 preserve my objection.

25                  THE COURT: Understood. It's preserved.

J9NVTERC

1 Anything further?

2 Mr. Goldman, did you want to say something?

3 MR. GOLDMAN: Yes, your Honor.

4 On that specific point, your Honor's requirements in  
5 her order indicated that we had to have permission by the  
6 personal representative in order to file these claims. And as  
7 I indicated in my declarations, in each and every case we had  
8 that consent.

9 THE COURT: Thank you.

10 Anything further?

11 MR. RAPAWY: Your Honor, this is Gregory Rapawy for  
12 Saudi Arabia. Very briefly. I don't want to prolong this.

13 Obviously our intent in joining was to preserve the  
14 rights of actively represented defendants with regard to any  
15 limitation issues that may be present here. I understand your  
16 Honor to have basically set that as -- those are preserved. So  
17 we will assert them when and as necessary.

18 THE COURT: Yes. And I think that's the message in  
19 Mr. Kabat's September 10th letter as well.

20 So those objections are preserved.

21 MR. RAPAWY: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

22 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much, everybody.

23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, your Honor.

24 \* \* \*